



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,730	11/26/2003	Nicholas Frattalone	P25,565-A USA	7353
23307	7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	VEDT & LECHNER,	FELTEN, DANIEL S		
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA 191072950	3693		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,730	FRATTALONE, NICHOLAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Felten	3693	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/25/	2006		
. – .	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1.2.4-6-10-25</i> is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1.2.4-6-10-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - -	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.0. § 115(a)	-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		
application from the International Bureau	•	ŭ	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,, , , ,	

Application/Control Number: 10/722,730

Art Unit: 3693

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of applicant's Request for Examination ("RCE") filed April 25, 2006 amending claim 1 and canceling claim 3 and 7-9 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10-25 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 10-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SBA Communications Corporation Announces 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Results; Accelerates Tower Ownership (Aug. 14,1998), SBA website (sbasite.com) and Gross et al (US 2003/0225665).

SBA discloses, as in claims 1 & 16-25, a method for long-term leasing by a company of a plurality of properties, two or more of which are separately owned and each of which is with an area where wireless communications facility is needed for a wireless communication network and each of which contains a location desirable for positioning said facility (see SBA, "site acquisition", "site development", "leasing negotiation", also see paragraph 4),

identifying two or more properties to acquire thorough lease (see SBA website "site development" and Article, paragraph 4); and

Application/Control Number: 10/722,730

Art Unit: 3693

SBA discloses that it offers a broad array of site acquisition, zoning construction and tower space leasing services to the wireless communication industry comprising an offer to lease each property for a term of years (see Article, paragraph 4),

--It is conventional that a single lump sum payment be made on either the front or back to the lessee's regular payment based upon the terms of the leasing agreement/offer/contract. It is conventional in the art that such terms are negotiated for a property over a period of years. Therefore OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken of the lump sum payment because the SBA provides a broad array of services that are customized to the clients needs (see Article paragraph 4). Thus a Lump sum payment would be an obvious extension to the leasing services provided by SBA to provided greater flexibility to their customers and accommodate the needs of the wireless community. Thus, the ability to be flexible and to customize leasing options/strategies would provide SBA a broader customer base and increase the company's growth and profitability.

SBA fails to disclose, the specific leasing term (or offer) wherein the total rent is less than the aggregate projected period lease payments for each property over the term of use. Gross discloses a method for leasing properties wherein the total rent for a property is less than the aggregate period lease payment over the term of use (see Gross, paragraphs 0011-0017). It would have been obvious for an artisan to recognize the advantages of the aforementioned lease term to achieve the goals of growth and profitability mentioned above, as well as to achieve advantageous accounting treatment for the parties to the transaction where the transaction is structured to enable the lessee to achieve operating lease treatment, thereby avoiding adverse impact on the lessee's balance sheet and increasing ratings. The transaction is also advantageously structured to achieve leverage lease account treatment for the lessor, thereby

Art Unit: 3693

providing favorable operating results on its reported financial statements (see Gross, *field of invention*, paragraph 0002). SBA, therefore would have recognized these advantages and used them to also provide mutually beneficial transactions between both parties as part of the lease negotiation process.

--wherein the properties are parcels on land (see SBA website and article), as in claim 2

--wherein at least one wireless communications facility is a part of a communications network (see SBA website and article), as in claim 4

--wherein said offer is to lease only a portion of each parcel of land comprising said desirable location, and any necessary access (see SBA website and article), as in claim 5

--wherein said offer is to lease said entire parcel of land (see SBA website and article), as in claim 6

--Re claims 10-15: the various forms of payment of the lump sum (shorter term, undivided, in lieu of rent payments, cash, negotiable securities, etc.) are well known in the art to make it convenient for transactions to take place between parties. Therefore OFFICIAL Notice is taken by the examiner of the aforementioned methods of payment being an obvious extension to the prior art SBA so as to provide a convenient (as well as conventional) transference of funds being well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,730 Page 5

Art Unit: 3693

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF 9/8/2006